APPROVED 1 2 3 ANN ARBOR HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION 4 Regular Session Thursday, January 12, 2006 Council Chambers, City Hall - 100 North Fifth Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104 5 6 7 Commissioners Present: Gary L. Cooper, H. Mark Hildebrandt, Susan Wineberg, Michele Derr, Michael Bruner, Jim Henrichs and Robert White (7) 8 9 10 Commissioners Absent: None. 11 Staff Present: Donna Franklin Johnson, Planner II, Planning and Development Services, and 12 Brenda Acquaviva, Administrative Support Specialist IV. 13 14 Review Committee: Commissioners Henrichs and Derr. 15 16 CALL TO ORDER: 17 18 19 Commissioner Bruner called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. 20 **ROLL CALL:** 21 22 23 Quorum satisfied. 24 APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA: 25 26 27 Moved by Commissioner White, Seconded by Commissioner Wineberg "To approve the agenda as presented" - On a Voice Vote - MOTION TO APPROVE - PASSED 28 Unanimous 29 30 A - HEARINGS 31 32 33 A-1 34 519 South First Street (OWSHD) 35 **BACKGROUND:** This two-story frame house has one-story porch, both with gable-ends facing 36 the street, six-over-six windows on the second floor located symmetrically above the first floor 37 window and front door, and a side addition with gable extending to the south. It is first listed 38 around 1888 as the home of John Herrman, a mason, and subsequently occupied by his widow, 39 40 Augusta. It is first shown on the 1908 Sanborn map and again in 1916, but with a substantially greater footprint. 41 42 43 LOCATION: The site is located on the east side of First Street, between William and Jefferson 44 Streets. 45 **APPLICATION:** The applicant requests approval to add a two-story addition on the northeast 46 rear corner, adding 490 new square feet to the house, and a one-story addition on the southeast 47 rear corner (replacing an existing 100 square foot sun porch). The northeast addition would 48 provide a family/bedroom on the first floor and a master bedroom on the second. The southeast 49 addition would provide a breakfast room. The northeast roofline would maintain and extend the

existing roof pitch to the east; the southeast roofline would replicate the pitch of the shed roof of

the kitchen. Both additions would extend east from the existing side facades and siding would match existing vinyl siding. The additions are located approximately 18 feet from the front of the house.

Review Committee: Commissioners Hildebrandt and Bruner visited the site.

Commissioner Hildebrandt – Home has two different foundations – stone and brick – the additions that are proposed are well set back from the street, are consistent with the style of the house and the addition of the breakfast nook is consistent with the current regulations. I didn't see a particular problem with this and I would favor it.

Commissioner Bruner - Concurs with Commissioner Hildebrandt.

Owner: John and Caroline Berge

Applicant Presentation: None.

Audience Participation: None.

Questions by the Commission:

Commissioner Wineberg – On the back where the tyvek is, is that over clapboard or was the clapboard removed?

(Applicant) – Originally it was clapboard and covered over with asphalt shingles.

Commissioner Hildebrandt - Are you planning to put on vinyl siding?

(Applicant) – Yes, the same that's on the rest of the house. The other vinyl was put on in 2005.

Commissioner Derr – I noticed there were no specifications for materials, and my assumption was it was because it was beyond our pervue, and the only reason it's before us now is due to it's size?

(Coordinator) – Yes. If it were fifty square feet smaller, it would have been Administratively approved. I believe the rule is, when it exceeds the first floor footprint by more than fifty percent (which it does).

MOVED by Commissioner Derr, Seconded by Commissioner White "to approve the application to add a two-story addition on the northeast rear corner and a one-story addition on the southeast rear corner of the house at 519 South First Street, approximately 18 feet from the front facade, per the attached drawings and as generally compatible in exterior design, arrangement, texture, material and relationship to the rest of the building and to the surrounding area and as meeting the Secretary of Interior's Standards numbers 9 and 10."

Commissioner Wineberg – (Commented the home's probable history). It appeared on an 1880 birds-eye map, and not on earlier ones, and there was no development on this block until after the Civil War. It's probably one of the first houses built on this street, and the portion with the brick foundation is probably the earlier part of the home, and guessing that the side wing was added in the 1880's, as it has the fieldstone foundation. It could have been a porch that later became enclosed. The home actually looks like an 1850's home. I think this is a very good addition, and I'm fully in support of it.

Commissioner Cooper – Commissioner Wineberg mentioned that the front of it is unusual in that the wing where the gable parallels the street is flush with the wing that's perpendicular to the street. That's really odd. When they extend the gable front straight back, they'll be extending that side. They've not jogged that back or distinguished the existing from the new. That is one thing that disappoints me – now we will have a much longer façade, with additional windows, the siding will continue and there won't be any differentiation from the later addition. It may become apparent as the gables are different on the back.

Discussion by the Commission:

On a Voice Vote - MOTION TO APPROVE - PASSED - Unanimous

A-2 330 South Main Street (OWSHD)

 BACKGROUND: This two-and-a-half story, brick, residential and commercial building, constructed in 1897 in the Italianate style, was the residence and millinery business of Laura and Persis Willard. The front features a front dormer with a double-hung one-over-one window, three irregularly spaced windows on the second floor, and a bay window at street level. At the time of the 1973 resource photo, the brick had been painted, but was subsequently restored.

LOCATION: The site is located on the west side of S. Main Street, between Liberty and William Streets, one lot from the south boundary of the District.

APPLICATION: The applicant requests permission to enlarge the north and south side dormers to provide headroom and egress for two bedrooms to be created on the third floor. The dormers presently have one double hung, one-over-one windows, identical in style to the front (east) dormer. The proposed dormers would tie into the existing roof, retaining approximately the same pitch as the existing dormers, but widened from 3.5 feet to 11.5 feet (outside dimensions), and raised approximately one foot higher than the peak of the original dormers. They are located approximately 20 feet from the Main Street façade and would have minimal visibility from the street due to surrounding structures and height above the street.

Review Committee: Commissioners Hildebrandt and Bruner visited the site.

Commissioner Hildebrandt – The house already has dormers on the North and South sides, and these just appear to be enlargements of the existing dormers. The only problem I had with it was putting a double window in there. It does not really follow the pattern of windows we see on this building. If the window is needed for more light in the room, that is an acceptable condition. These dormers are pretty innocuous, and the one on the North is not very visible from the street, so I don't see an issue.

Commissioner Bruner – I agree as well.

Owner: Terry Wolf

Applicant Presentation: None.

Audience Participation: None.

Questions by the Commission:

Commissioner Wineberg – The print on the dormer enlargement section was extremely tiny. I can't read any of this, so I'd like it read to me. It looks like 'foam insulation baffle?'

Commissioner Cooper – Can you tell us what kind of windows you'll use? It says 'as specified herein,' but then we don't find any specifications. Will they be aluminum clad, wood? It says "windows specified per plan," but when we look at the plan, it gives us the size for the windows, but it doesn't tell us what kind or makeup they are, vinyl, wood, aluminum clad.

(Applicant) - Anderson Windows, but I'm unable to detail what kind of windows as the designer is unable to be here tonight, and he has the materials list and the specifications. I believe they are aluminum clad.

Has this been run by the Building Department? Are you fairly confident that this apartment will be approved?

(Applicant) – I think the reason for the double windows is because of the egress requirements. There is a fire escape between the two buildings on the North side, and that is where there would be an egress out of the reconstructed dormer.

I don't think egress requires two; one window would be sufficient, but I was asking if you've had enough discussion with the Building Department to get this approved if we approve it?

(Applicant) – I believe so. The designer and I have had several conversations with them and Mr. Tony Savoni.

Commissioner Wineberg – This is unoccupied attic space right now? (Applicant) – Correct.

(Coordinator) – I had conversation with Anthony Savoni about this, and part of the reason that they're expanding and bringing up is that they get enough headroom to make it an 'occupy able' space. Right now the ceiling is not high enough to have the minimum ceiling height.

MOVED by Commissioner Hildebrandt, Seconded by Commissioner White, "to approve the application to enlarge the North and South side dormers of the structure at 330 S. Main Street as generally compatible in exterior design, arrangement, texture, material and relationship to the rest of the structure and to the surrounding area and as meeting the Secretary of Interior's Standards numbers 2 and 9."

Commissioner Wineberg – I'll read this background I have on this home. I was hired by a previous owner to research the history of the house, which I wrote in 2001. "The brick house at 330 S. Main Street was built around 1883 as the home and business of two sisters, Laura and Persis Willard, who were milliners. The sisters had been in the millinery business since 1868, when they were located at the Southeast corner of Main and Washington.

The business was located there throughout the 1870's. The first City directory of the 1880's was 1883, and they were listed at 63 S. Main Street, which is this address. It changed to 80 S. Main Street and then 330 S. Main Street in 1898. This is when all the streets were renumbered. The lots to the North were still empty and the buildings nearby were all houses – used both for residences and offices, mainly for doctors. This all changed by the 1920's.

The millinery business of hat making and some dress-making is one of the few businesses that was run by and for women. The classifieds in the older city directories show many women running millinery shops in the area of S. Main, E. Liberty and E. Washington. In 1898, there were ten milliners and several were nearby in the 300 block of S. Main. This occupation remained a popular one for women into the 1950's.

By 1905, the sisters had died or retired, and Dr. E.A. Clark maintained his residence and practice here. His 1920 entry in the city directory states he's a physician and surgeon, married to Anna and has office hours from 2 to 4 and 7 to 8 p.m. Mornings and Sunday's by appointment only. In 1930, Rendels upholstering and Mrs. Clark Shelters were listed, and in1940, the Electric Service Company is listed.

In 1950, there are four apartments. In 1960, the office of Ann Arbor Real Estate was downstairs, and three apartments were also listed. In the 1980's Lovin' Spoonful ice cream store was located in the commercial space. In July, 1999, Nancy Chenevy moved her Regrets Only stationary and card shop into that space. I don't know when the Chocolate Shop moved in.

Discussion by the Commission:

Discussion included what type of design was on the front of the house, whether this home originally had the dormers on it, whether it originally had a cornice on it, was the roof original, was a flare taken off which makes the appearance much different.

On a Voice Vote - MOTION TO APPROVE - PASSED - Unanimous

B – REQUESTS FOR REHEARING

None.

C - APPROVAL OF MINUTES

C-1 – November 9, 2005

Changes and Corrections: Lines 277 & 351

Moved by Commissioner White, Seconded by Commissioner Derr "to accept the minutes of the December 2005 Regular Session as amended.

On a Voice Vote - MOTION PASSED - Unanimous

D - OLD BUSINESS

D-1 205 North Division (OFWHD)

BACKGROUND: See November 2005 staff report for background information and location.

APPLICATION: The application was tabled at the November 2005 HDC meeting pending receipt and review of additional architectural details. Revised drawings have been provided and the proposal modified to address Commissioners' comments.

The proposal is to replace six basement level windows and add window wells (east, south and west facades), to replace one filled window opening with an egress door in the same opening and construct access steps (west façade), and to change one door opening into a window opening, removing steps and roof canopy and creating a window well (north façade). All construction is proposed for egress and emergency egress for the basement level apartment unit

Coordinator – (Restated background information on what had happened previously before the Commission). The Commission wanted more clarification in the details so that it would be easy to call out which window was going to be put where and so forth. Also, some changes on the East wall, and the petitioner has done that. These are additional details that were provided.

Review Committee: The Commission visited the site previously.

Owner: One Hundred Twelves LLC, C/O Madison Properties, 1712 South State, Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Applicant: Andrew Hauptman, AIA, 360 Design, LLC, 325 East Eisenhower, Suite 13, Ann

Arbor, MI 48108 (Architect)

Applicant Presentation: None.

Audience Participation: None.

Questions by the Commission:

Commissioner White – We looked at this property previously, and on the West side of the home there was a door and two windows on each side. What were your plans for that?

(Applicant) – Everyone agreed that it would be preferred to remove the awning above the stairs, and I did verify with the building department that the interpretation of the code shows that we don't need it, so we're just going to get rid of it.

(Applicant) – There was also an issue with a modification to one of the double windows that was centered below, and we were going to split it up, make it wider, which would make it off-center. The reason for that was to add a second bedroom in the basement. The owner has decided that they would scrap the bedroom, as the Commission didn't prefer that from an architectural perspective.

We're leaving the two windows as is, but making them deeper for the egress requirements. There are no masonry modifications at all except for lowering the sill height. On the North side, we have an existing awning that we will be removing, and that door will no longer be used as a door, but will become a window well.

 Commissioner Cooper – The elevation on sketch 11. You have a guardrail around it, then a handrail that follows the steps down. You're suggesting that the guardrail is going to attach to the building? Can you do it in such a way that it wouldn't attach to the building? Also, you had previously stated there would be no saw-cutting of the openings. You'll reshape or reset the stones to form the jams of the windows?

(Applicant) – Yes. I agree, it should not be attached to the building, it should have a return in the front of the building. It is also correct that there will be no saw cutting.

Commissioner Wineberg – Repairs to the front stairs?

(Applicant) –Yes, there are definitely areas that are in need of repairs.

Commissioner Henrichs – The windows on sketch 10 show the brick facing on the sides of the deepened window wells, but the door doesn't. Is there a reason for that? (Applicant) – Because of the depth and the costs involved. There is no 'technical' reason.

MOVED by Commissioner Wineberg, Seconded by Commissioner White "to approve the application to replace six basement level windows and add window wells, to replace one window opening with an egress door in the same opening and construct access steps, and to modify a door opening into a window opening for the house located at 205 North Division, as per specifications and drawings as generally compatible in exterior design, arrangement, texture, material and relationship to the rest of the structure and to the surrounding area, and as meeting the Secretary of Interior's Standards numbers 2 and 9."

 Commissioner Wineberg - This is such an important building, and I'm glad to see that they took our advice for modifying the building. The house was designed by Gordon Lloyd who did St. Andrew's Church and Forrest Hills Cemetery. It's an important corner where there are four fabulous examples of different historic architecture. I'm hoping that they also repair the missing pieces of the balustrade on the front porch and the steps.

Commissioner Cooper – We're happy that the owner has responded to the changes we've asked for. There are going to be significant changes that will be happening to that building.

On a Voice Vote - MOTION PASSED - Unanimous

E - <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

E-1 – Arnold and Gertrude Goss House – 3215 West Dobson Place - Request for Comments from MSHPO Regarding Nomination for National Register of Historic Places.

The City has received information from the State Historic Preservation Office requesting the City's review of the nomination for the Arnold and Gertrude Goss House for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. I have enclosed the forms and a copy of the application for your information and review. The owners, Sally and Ian Bund, are hoping that approval action can be taken at the scheduled February 10, 2006 meeting of the State review board and the City's review if a part of that process. I have also included the Secretary of Interior qualifying criteria.

LOCATION: The site is located north of Glazier Road between Huron Parkway and Green Roads.

MOVED: "Having reviewed the application for the Arnold and Gertrude Goss House and its adjoining properties, located at 3215 West Dobson Place in the City of Ann Arbor, for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, having read the documentation of its history and significance, and having visited the subject property, the Ann Arbor Historic Preservation Commission hereby finds that the proposal meets Criteria B and C and supports its inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places."

(Owner Sally Bund gave a slideshow presentation) – The home is not currently in an historic district, but the owner is requesting registration on the national registry of historic places.

(The Commission overall is very pleased that this house will be on the National Register and are happy to support the issue. They feel that the house and grounds are very special.)

Vote - PASSED - Unanimous

F - REPORTS FROM COMMISSIONERS

Commissioner Wineberg -

*Lower Town – City Council postponed the issue until May when they want to discuss passing it as a part of "Hiring a District Coordinator." I also attended the Caucus meeting the Sunday prior to this particular City Council meeting, and this "linking" of the district with the Coordinator was not mentioned – so, we were kind of surprised that it went in this direction.

*The Awards Committee is meeting next Thursday, January 19th).

*New Construction on empty lots – At the Caucus I was asked if the Commission approved "New Construction on Empty Lots?" One of the people who lived on Swift Street was concerned that they wouldn't be able to build on an empty lot. I said, yes they could, named a few examples, and one of those brought up was 1315 Hill Street, which one of the Council people thought was "too bad, and too modern and how did we think that that 'fit in' with the rest of the neighborhood."

The most important thing he said was that the developer was "required" to make it modern. I simply told them that this was NOT TRUE, and sent them the minutes to substantiate that. I thought the building was up, but there is nothing there! All these people are saying this is 'hideous,' yet they haven't even put a hole in the ground. They are, however, advertising in the Michigan Daily, with a picture of the building saying "Fall Leasing."

 Commissioner Bruner – It is true that when they (1315 Hill Street) came to us in a working session, the Architect started right off the bat with the question that the building 'could be' modern, or how would we 'receive' something that was historic vs. something modern. By the Secretary of Interior's Standards, New construction should be "of its time." That is certainly the case with this project. We did trying to direct the Architect in a number of ways without being difficult or draconian, to get them to 'acknowledge' the character of the neighborhood, but he brought in what he wanted, and we were persuaded to see that it wasn't entirely objectionable. We all had statements about the roofline, a cornice, something that would separate the building from the sky in the manner of every other building in the neighborhood, regardless of what time period it was from. It became how it appears, which is a very close copy of a building designed by Louis Kahn for the Brynmawr campus in Pennsylvania thirty years ago.

Commissioner Cooper – It was very clear from the beginning that they wanted a modern building, and they were not directed by us in that aspect.

Commissioner Hildebrandt – We did approve another 'modern building' on the corner of Detroit Street and Kingsley that hasn't been built yet.

Commissioner Derr – I didn't think that they really had any plan to bring in anything that looked 'pseudo old' – which we felt was better, but we didn't direct them.

G - STAFF ACTIVITIES REPORT

	G-1		Attached was a listing of 'Administrative Approvals' done the HDC for approval.
H –	ASSIC	SNMENTS	
MONIT	rors:		
Comm	issione	r Cooper	(A-1) – 519 South First Street
Comm	issione	r Bruner	(A-2) – 330 South Main Street
Comm	issione	r Wineberg	(D-1) – 205 North Division Street
1-	RFVIF	W COMMITTEE - fo	or Monday, February 6, 2006 at 12:00 p.m. for the February 9,
•		neeting.	or Worlday, 1 obradity 0, 2000 at 12.00 p.m. for the 1 obradity 3,
	0		
	Comm	nissioners Derr and I	Henrichs
J -	CONC	ERNS OF COMMIS	SSIONERS
Comm	issione	r Hildebrandt – Reti	ring from the Commission next month. My second term will be
up in F	ebruar	y.	
			eeting date should be the 11th (a Tuesday) and not Monday as
stated	in the o	calendar of events.	(Secretary will correct).
_		5	
Comm	issione	r Derr – We need to	be thinking about our "Retreat," which we do in March?
Comm	issione	r Wineberg – Need	a session with City Council to straighten out a lot of
			rrect regarding the Commission, Lower Town, Calthorpe and
			ic District Ordinances.
various	, 10000	o daon do trio i notori	io Biotriot Grainarioso.
(Coord	linator v	will check with the P	Planning Manager to arrange for City Council to have a meeting
			prmation as a joint session to the HDC yearly retreat.)
			,,,,,,,, .
K -	COM	MUNICATIONS -	
Comm	issione	r Bruner – Reads no	otification of a hearing for Glen-Ann Place and LLC. A formal
	ng will be held on January 24 th , 2006.		
•	5	,	,
Coordi	nator -	Attorney's Office is	working diligently on this hearing.
		,	5 5 7
Motion	າ to ad	journ by Commiss	ioner Cooper, Seconded by Commissioner White -
		ote - MOTION PAS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Meetin	g ende	d at 8:15 P.M.	
Minute	s prepa	ared by: B. Acquavi	va, Administrative Support Specialist IV